

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/903,650	07/13/2001	Kyoung Ro Yoon	24286/81351	1629	
7590 06/22/2006			EXAMINER		
Peter H. Kang			SHEPARD, JUSTIN E		
SIDLEY AUSTIN BROWN & WOOD LLP Suite 2000			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
555 California Street			2623		
San Francisco, CA 94104-1715			DATE MAILED: 06/22/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/903,650	YOON ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Justin E. Shepard	2623

	Justin E. Shepard	2623	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>09 June 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, a stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	affidavit, or other evider n compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date</li> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to the statutory period for reply expires and the statutory period for reply</li></ul>	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set for	<del>-</del>	
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amous shortened statutory period for reply of r than three months after the mailing (	nt of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final Off	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)),	to avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of ne appeal. Since
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  (a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see N		ecause
<ul> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in beganing appeal; and/or</li> </ul>		reducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	•	ejected claims.	
I. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-0	Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		·	_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		will be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) rejected: <u>15-24 and 30-33</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under app	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attack	ned.
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See attached arguments.</li> </ol>	it does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	nce because:
2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  3. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	
		de de	$\mathcal{D}$
	34 34	CHRISTOPHER G	
	Ţ)	SCENTIBURI PHIEN	

CENTER 2800

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Response to Amendment

The applicant's amendment of claims 19 and 23 clarify the claims without changing the scope of the claims. The amendment will be entered as it corrects the issue with the objected to claims, but the previous provided rejection stands.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 6/9/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Page 6, paragraph 5, lines 7-10 (referring to claim 15):

The applicant argues that CineMage fails to disclose the step of specifying whether information in the user action item is protected. CineMage discloses a digital watermarking scheme to protect digital content by preventing unauthorized use. The term "unauthorized use" is interpreted as providing a method to stop unauthorized users from accessing the digital content. By stopping an unauthorized user, the method specifies to the user that the file is protected and therefore the rejection stands.

Page 7, first paragraph, lines 3-4 (referring to claim 15):

The applicant argues that it is not sure what files the protection, taught by CineMage, is being added to in Cathey. CineMage is brought in to teach the idea of a "content reference identifier" as well as the protection. The "content reference identifier"

Application/Control Number: 09/903,650

Art Unit: 2623

Page 3

is part of the "user action item," and with the protection taught by CineMage adds specifying whether the action item is protected. The rejection stands.

CHRISTOPHER GRANT SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600